# rayny

## One-Pot Novel Regioselective Cycloisomerization Synthesis of 2‑Substituted or 3‑Substituted 4H‑Furo[3,2‑c]chromene through the Intermediate Cyclopropenes of 3‑Diazochroman-4-one and Phenylacetylene

Jin Gong, Zhensheng Zhao, Fuming Zhang, Songyun Wu, Guangqi Yan, Yingjie Quan, and Baochun Ma\*

State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [A new class o](#page-3-0)f cyclopropenes containing a chroman-4-one motif were synthesized using 3-diazochroman-4-one and phenylacetylene with rhodium(II) catalyst and followed by cycloisomerization to give 2 substituted or 3-substituted 4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene, respectively. Using  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  as catalyst, 2-substituted  $4H$ -furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene was exclusively obtained in 70% yield. Using  $Cu(OTf)_{2}$  as catalyst, 3substituted 4H-furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene was obtained in 95% yield with 98:2 regioselectivity. A one-pot cascade addition−cycloisomerization process was also developed with no need to isolate cyclopropenes of chroman-4-one intermediates.



 $\mathbf{\nabla}$  yclopropenes, $\mathbf{L}$  highly strained but readily accessible carbocyclic molecules, have been shown to possess useful reactivity in organi[c](#page-3-0) synthesis. Functionalized cyclopropenes as bioorthogonal chemical reporters can be used to target biomolecules in vitro and in live cells owing to their rigorous and highly strained structure. Although rhodium-catalyzed intermolecular cyclopropenation with donor−acceptor carbenoids is a well-established process, $2,3$  there have been rare reports on the cyclopropeneation of 3-diazochroman-4-one and phenylacetylene.

As part of our ongoing efforts<sup>[4](#page-3-0)</sup> toward the asymmetric synthesis of 3,3′-biflavanones, we have exclusively obtained cyclopropenes of 3-diazochroman-[4-](#page-3-0)one in the presence of rhodium catalyst accompanied by no cycloisomerization products. Cyclopropenes containing the chroman-4-one motif have a unique structure with much more ring strain. After a cycloisomerization reaction catalyzed by  $BF_3·Et_2O$  or Cu- $(OTf)_{2}$ , 2-trisubstituted or 3-trisubstituted furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene was obtained with good efficiency and regioselectivity. This class of cyclopropenes containing a chroman-4-one motif has not been employed as a substrate for cycloisomerizations to synthesize the  $4H$ -furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene skeleton.

The  $4H$ -furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene skeleton<sup>5</sup> can be found in many natural products and exhibits potential biological activity. Most synthetic methods have focused on [th](#page-3-0)e construction of a pterocarpan system which is the combination of benzofuran and chromene scaffold.<sup>6−13</sup> Herein, we report the synthesis of unique cyclopropenes containing a chroman-4-one motif using 3-diazochroman-4-one [and](#page-3-0) phenylacetylene with rhodium(II) catalyst, followed by regioselectively cycloisomerization to give 2-substituted or 3-substituted 4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene, respectively. Using  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  as catalyst, 2-substituted  $4H$ -furo[3,2c chromene was exclusively obtained. Using  $Cu(OTf)$ <sub>2</sub> as

catalyst, 3-substituted  $4H$ -furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene was obtained with 98:2 regioselectivity. We also developed a one-pot cascade addition−cycloisomerization process to synthesize 4H-furo[3,2  $c$ ]chromene with no need to isolate cyclopropenes of chroman-4-one intermediates (Scheme 1).





On the basis of the initial results, a number of different catalysts, solvents, and operating procedures were tested to optimize the cyclopropene reaction conditions (Table 1). When  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  was used as catalyst, a benchmark catalyst in metal carbenoid chemistry (Table 1, entry 1−4), the yield [o](#page-1-0)f the reaction was decreased greatly after completion of the reaction in 3 h as determined by [T](#page-1-0)LC. Rh<sub>2</sub>(Oct)<sub>4</sub> at −5 °C

Received: July 18, 2014 Published: October 23, 2014

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization for the Reaction Conditions $a,b$ 

	Ω ۰ Ph- $\mathsf{N}_2$ 1 <sub>c</sub>	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$ CCI4-20 °C	O Pĥ 3c	
entry	catalyst	solvent	temp $(^{\circ}C)$	yield $(\%)$
1	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	$\text{CCl}_4$	rt	20
2	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	$CH_2Cl_2$	rt	$NR^{c}$
3	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	CH <sub>3</sub> CHCl <sub>2</sub>	rt	NR.
$\overline{4}$	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	$n$ -hexane	rt	NR.
5	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	CCl <sub>4</sub>	rt	26
6	$Ru(BYP)$ <sub>3</sub>	CCl <sub>4</sub>	rt	NR.
7	$(Ph_3P)_3RuCl_2$	CCl <sub>4</sub>	rt	NR.
8	$[(p-cymene)Ru]_{2}Cl_{4}$	CCl <sub>4</sub>	rt	<b>NR</b>
9	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	$\mathrm{CCl}_4$	-5	57
10	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	$\mathrm{CCl}_4$	-20	85

 $a$ Reaction conditions: The reaction was carried out using 1 (0.2) mmol),  $2$  (0.2 mmol), and catalyst (0.1 mol %) in the solvent (5 mL).  $Isolated yields.  $^cNR = not reaction.$$ 

could promote the reaction efficiency to afford 3a in 57% yield (Table 1, entry 9), while at room temperature, the yield dropped to 26% (Table 1, entry 5). Among other transitionmetal catalysts,  $Ru(BYP)_{3}$ ,  $(Ph_3P)_3RuCl_2$ , and  $[(p\text{-cymene})$ - $Ru$ ]<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> could not proceed the reaction (Table 1, entry 6,7,8). After screening commonly used solvents,  $CCl<sub>4</sub>$  was superior to  $CH_2Cl_2$ ,  $CH_3CHCl_2$ , and *n*-hexane. After numerous screenings, we found that the reaction of 1 and 2 applying  $Rh_2(Oct)_4$  (0.1) mol %) in CCl<sub>4</sub> (5 mL) at -20 °C to give the expected products 3a in 85% yield (Table 1, entry 10). Attempts to add in more  $Rh^{II}$  catalyst failed to generate cycloisomerization product 4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene, this may be due to the specific structure of chroman-4-one precursor.<sup>8</sup>

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 10), we then tested the functional group tolera[nce](#page-3-0) of the newly developed  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & + & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  cycloaddition reactions of 2,2-dimethyl-3diazochroman-4-one 1 and phenylacetylene. Some typical examples for this regioselective transformation are summarized in Scheme 2.

Obviously, the  $R^2$  group with electronic and steric variation on the acetylene moiety afforded the corresponding cyclopropene product in good to moderate yields. The effect of  $R<sup>1</sup>$ group was investigated. The result showed the steric effect on the reaction obviously. When  $R^1$  was methyl or ethyl group, the reaction was finished as reasonable yield (Table 2, such as 3a, 3b, and 3c). The sterically hindered group decreased the yield (Scheme 2, such as 3r and 3s). Compared with electronic effects on aromatic substitution of chroman-4-one, the electronics of 3-diazo-2-substituted chroman-4-one should be similar; this dramatic difference in reactivity can be rationalized on the basis of steric interference.  $R<sup>3</sup>$  with an electron-donating group (Scheme 2, such as 3f, 3h) or electron-withdrawing group (Scheme 2, such as 3g and 3t) showed no significant electronic effect; this difference in reactivity can be rationalized on the basis of steric interference. Because of the slight steric effects of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , 3p was obtained in 63% yield. Significantly, the cyclopropene reaction is compatible with various benzocyclic systems (Scheme 2, such as 3d, 3e, 3h, and 3p). Benzocyclopentanone and benzocyclohexanone can also be compatible. Cyclopropene bearing a chroman-4-one motif was slowly decomposed on standing at room temperature over a





 $a$ All reactions were performed by addition of the 1 (0.2 mmol) in 3 mL of  $\text{CCl}_4$  over 3 h to a stirred solution of 2 (0.2 mmol) and  $Rh_2(Oct)_4$  (0.1 mol %) in CCl<sub>4</sub> (2 mL) at −20 °C. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.<br><sup>c</sup>Low solubility of substrate 2t in CCl.  $c$ Low solubility of substrate 2t in CCl<sub>4</sub>

period of months and should be stored at −20 °C to avoid decomposition.

With the cyclopropenes of chroman-4-one in hand, the generality of the reaction and different substituent effects were subsequently investigated. With 1 mol % of  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  as the catalyst, we developed a novel Lewis acid catalyzed cycloisomerization of cyclopropenes of chroman-4-one, and some of the typical results are summarized in Scheme 3. When the substrate 3a derived from 2,2-dimethyl-3-diazochroman-4-one and acetylene was employed, the anticipated c[hr](#page-2-0)oman-4-one derivative 4a was obtained in 70% yield (Scheme 3). All cyclopropenes (Scheme 3, 3a−p) could exclusively cycloisomerize to corresponding 2-substituted-4H-furo[\[3](#page-2-0),2-c] chromene in tolerance [wit](#page-2-0)h  $R<sup>1</sup>$  as an alkyl benzyl, aryl, or vinyl group, while cyclopropane, 5-benzyl, and 5-phenyl groups gave low yield due to some portion of unidentified products.

With the cyclopropenes of chroman-4-one in hand, the feasibility of Cu(II)-catalyzed cycloisomerization to 4H-furo- [3,2-c]chromene was also investigated (Scheme 4). This method furnished a straightforward route to 3-substituted 4H-furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene and with Pd(II) or Cu(I) c[ata](#page-2-0)lysts 2substituted 4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene could be obtained.<sup>9b,10b</sup> The regioselectivity difference should be attributed to our unique chroman-4-one skeleton.

We chose 3c as the model substrate to test Cu-catalyzed cycloisomerization. The reactions did occur smoothly under these catalytic conditions, and 3-substituted 4H-furo[3,2 c]chromene 5c could be obtained in 95% yield with 98:2

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



<sup>a</sup> All reactions were performed by addition of the  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  (1 mol %) to a stirred solution of 3 (0.2 mmol) in dry CCl<sub>4</sub> (3 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture naturally warmed to room temperature.  $\frac{b}{c}$  Isolated yields.



 $a<sup>a</sup>$ All reactions were performed by a solution of 3 (0.2 mmol) and  $Cu(OTf)_{2}$  (5 mol %) in dry CCl<sub>4</sub> (3 mL), stirred at 40 °C. The reaction mixture naturally warmed to room temperature.  $\frac{b}{b}$  Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup> Unless otherwise specified, isolated yields of two isomers. <sup>d</sup> The ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup> H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

regioselectivity with 5 mol % of  $Cu(OTf)_2$  as the catalyst. We tried more typical substrates such as 3c, 3d, 3g, 3h, 3j, 3m, and 3o to test copper(II)-catalyzed cycloisomerization and obtained the desired 3-substituted  $4H$ -furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene 5c, 5d, 5g, 5h, 5j, 5m, and 5o with high regioselectivity.

A possible mechanism for this regioselectivity cycloisomerization was depicted in Scheme 5 on the basis of previous





 $work<sup>14</sup>$  and our experimental results. 3-Diazochroman-4-one was reacted with acetylene under rhodium(II) catalyst to form cycl[opr](#page-3-0)opene chroman-4-one. In the presence of the  $Cu(II)$ catalyst, the copper cation attacks the less substituted  $sp^2$ carbon atom of cyclopropenone compounds, undergoing a ring-opening reaction to afford copper carbene intermediate, and then the carbonyl oxygen atom attacks the metal carbene carbon atom to afford the corresponding 3-substituted 4Hfuro[3,2-c]chromene product. With  $BF_3$ ·Et<sub>2</sub>O as catalyst,  $BF_3$ ·  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  chelated to the carbonyl group as a driving force to induce cyclopropene ring opening and a reclosure process, resulting in 2-substituted 4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene product.

With the optimized cycloisomerization reaction conditions in hand, we attempted to test a one-pot cascade addition− cycloisomerization reaction between 3-diazochroman-4-one and acetylene without isolating the intermediate cyclopropene chroman-4-one. Compound 1a was treated with phenylacetylene under  $0.1\%$  equiv of rhodium catalysts in CCl<sub>4</sub> at  $-20$  °C for 3 h, and then 1% equiv of BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, the desired 2-substituted  $4H$ -furo $[3,2-c]$ chromene 4a was obtained in 60% yield.

We also attempted a  $Cu(OTf)$ <sub>2</sub> catalyzed one-pot cascade addition−cycloisomerization; compound 1c was treated with phenylacetylene under 0.1% equiv of rhodium catalyst in  $CCl<sub>4</sub>$ at −20 °C for 3 h, 5% equiv of Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C, the reaction was stirred at 40 °C, and the desired 3-substituted-4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene 5c was obtained in 83% yield.

In summary, we have developed a novel one-pot cascade addition−cycloisomerization of 3-diazochroman-4-one and phenylacetylene for the regioselective synthesis of 2-substituted 4H-furo[3,2-c]chromene or 3-substituted 4H-furo[3,2-c] chromene by using the catalyst  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  or  $Cu(OTf)_2$ , respectively. The method is efficient (up to 95% yield and 98:2 regioselectivity), and there is no need to isolate the cyclopropenes of chroman-4-one. The reaction could be applied to the synthesis of various natural product skeletons. Further studies into the scope, mechanism, and synthetic applications of this transformation are being carried out in our laboratory.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, full characterization of new products, and copies of NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: mabaochun@lzu.edu.cn.

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no 21172098, 21173105), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (lzujbky-2013-50, lzujbky-2013-ct02), and the 111 Project of Chinese Ministry of Education.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117. (b) Bach, R. D.; Dmitrenko, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4598. (c) Khoury, P. R.; Goddard, J. D.; Tam, W. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 8103. (d) Fattahi, A.; McCarthy, R. E.; Ahmad, M. R.; Kass, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11746. (e) Marek, I.; Simaan, S.; Masawara, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7364.

(2) (a) Doyle, M. P.; Winchester, W. R.; Hoorn, J. A. A.; Lynch, V.; Simonsen, S. H.; Ghosht, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9968. (b) Doyle, M. P.; Protopopova, M.; Müller, P.; Erie, D.; Shapiro, E. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8492. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Bruzinski, P. R.; Lake, D. H.; Kong, N.; Fall, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6897. (d) Lou, Y.; Horikawa, M.; Kloster, R. A.; Hawryluk, N. A.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8916. (e) Davies, H. M. L.; Lee, G. H. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1233. (f) Panne, P.; Fox, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 22. (g) Briones, J. F.; Hansen, J.; Hardcastle, K. I.; Autschbach, J.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17211. (h) Goto, T.; Takeda, K.; Shimada, N.; Nambu, H.; Anada, M.; Shiro, M.; Ando, K.; Hashimoto, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6803.

(3) (a) Park, E. J.; Kim, S. H.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17268. (b) Uehara, M.; Suematsu, H.; Yasutomi, Y.; Katsuki, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 170. (c) Cui, X.; Xu, X.; Lu, H.; Zhu, S.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3304. (d) Briones, J. F.; Davies, H. M. L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3984. (e) Briones, J. F.; Davies, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11916.

(4) Li, W. Z.; Ma, B. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 271.

(5) (a) Khupse, R. S.; Erhardt, P. W. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 275. (b) Furstner, A.; Heilmann, E. K.; Davies, P. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4760.

(6) (a) Cheng, G.; Hu, Y. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3285. (b) Hu, F.; Chen, T.; Yan, J.; Cheng, M.; Huang, L.; Hu, Y. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 11238.

(7) (a) Nakatani, K.; Adachi, K.; Tanabe, K.; Saito, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8221. (b) Mahaffy, P. G.; Visser, D.; Torres, M.; Bourdelande, J. L.; Strausz, O. P. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2680. (c) Halton, B.; Jones, C. S.; Margetic, D. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 3529. (d) Padwa, A.; Akiba, M.; Chou, C. S.; Cohen, L. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 183. (e) Zimmerman, H. E.; Wright, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6603.

(8) (a) Rosenfeld, M. J.; Shankar, B. K. R.; Shechter, H. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2699. (b) Padwa, A.; Chiacchio, U.; Garreau, Y.; Kassir, J. M.; Krumpe, K. E.; Schoffstall, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 414. (c) Doyle, M. P.; Pieters, R. J.; Taunton, J.; Pho, H. Q.; Padwa, A.; Hertzog, D. L.; Precedo, L. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 820. (d) Padwa, A.; Kassir, J. M.; Xu, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6971. (e) Padwa, A.; Kinder, F. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 21. (f) Lee, Y. P.; Suk, J. P.

Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4795. (g) Müller, P.; Allenbach, Y. F.; Bernardinelli, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 3164. (h) Briones, J. F.; Davies, H. M. L. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4313. (i) Pang, W.; Zhu, S.; Xin, Y.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 1261. (j) Li, H.; Hsung, R. P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4462. (k) Garner, P.; Ramakanth, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2631. (l) Padwa, A.; Kassir, J. M.; Xu, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1642.

(9) (a) Fü rstner, A.; Davies, P. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15024. (b) Ma, S.; Zhang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12386. (c) Song, C.; Ju, L. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 3584.

(10) (a) Peng, L.; Zhang, X.; Ma, M.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1905. (b) Chen, J.; Ma, S. Chem.- Asian J. 2010, 5, 2415. (c) Xia, Y.; Xia, Y.; Ge, R.; Liu, Z.; Xiao, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3917. (d) Tsai, Y.; Das, U.; Syu, S.; Lee, C.; Lin, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 4634. (e) Miao, M.; Xu, X.; Xu, L.; Ren, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 5896. (f) Dong, Z.; Liu, C.; Wang, Y.; Lin, M.; Yu, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14157. (g) Rauniyar, V.; Wang, Z.; Burks, H.; Toste, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8486. (h) Lenden, P.; Entwistle, D.; Willis, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10657.

(11) (a) Zhou, L.; Ma, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5484. (b) Swenson, A. K.; Higgins, K. E.; Brewer, M. G.; Brennessel, W. W.; Coleman, M. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 7483.

(12) Cui, X.; Xu, X.; Wojtas, L.; Kim, M. M.; Zhang, X. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19981.

(13) Phun, L. H.; Aponte-Guzman, J.; France, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3198.

(14) (a) Selvi, T.; Srinivasan, K. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 3653. (b) Kokubo, K.; Koizumi, T.; Harada, K.; Mochizuki, E.; Oshima, T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7776. (c) Chen, M.; Roush, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9512. (d) Ogoshi, S.; Yoshida, T.; Nishida, T.; Morita, M.; Kurosawa, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1944. (e) Yadav, K. V.; Sriramurthy, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16366. (f) Koizumi, T.; Harada, K.; Mochizuki, E.; Kokubo, K.; Oshima, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4081. (g) Echavarren, A. M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3326.